IN THE COURT OF THE CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT BENGALURU

O. S. No.

41 / 2022

BETWEEN:

Srinivas S. Devathi

...Plaintiff

AND:

Legal and Treaties Division of MEA, Society of Indian Automobile

Manufacturers (SIAM), IIM-Lucknow, IIM-Indore, IIM-Kolkata, IIMAhmedabad, IIM-Bangalore, Kasturi and Sons Ltd., Express publications

Madurai Pvt Ltd., The Indian Express Pvt Ltd., ET and TOI of Bennet &

Coleman company Ltd., Printers Mysore Pvt Ltd., and Jagran Prakashan

Ltd. ...Defendants

MEMORANDUM UNDER CPC SECTION 80(2) TO SUMMON DEFENDANT 1 IMMEDIATELY IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUALITY.

To,

The Honorable Judge, CCH-10

Under section 80(2), I seek exemption on the office compliance listed items, and request that the defendant 1 be summoned to the court immediately, given the following set of facts and reasons:

- 1) Legal notice u/sc 80(2) of cpc not issued against defendant 1.
 - a. This is not a conventional lawsuit filed against the Indian government, Legal & Treaties division of MEA. The defendant 1 is working alongside with me to deliver justice and equality. I attach document 7, an e-mail communication to the defendant 1 dated 1-9-2021, requesting defendant 1 to provide their independent estimate of the final sale price on behalf of Indian government. The defendant 1 has had a lead time of four months, more than the requested two-month notice.
 - b. The suit is asking for the expert, technical investigation or evaluation of the final sale price to my invention and its worldwide

June 108

intellectual property rights, by choosing defendant 1 to take up the activity on behalf of Indian government.

- i. This activity is a step to verify and validate the final sale price chosen by me and how it compares to independent valuations from chosen competent authorities in India under CPC section 75 provisions.
- 2) Defendant copies not furnished and process fee not paid.
 - a. Bangalore city civil court constitutes a legal entity by itself. And I intend to set-up an agreement with the Bangalore city civil court for the court fee calculated, which is given in the lawsuit OS 2487 of 2021, attached as document 38. The agreement shall state that I will pay 50% of the court fee upon receipt of the court fee from the fraudulent defendant 1 USPTO in OS 2487 of 2021. And the balance 50% shall be paid upon the conclusion of the 62 sale agreements.
 - b. To not have any legal entity, organization, state government, or central government as an intermediary between me and 'justice according to law and Patent Cooperation Treaty', I shall serve the summons to defendants myself upon your orders. I shall submit the 'Indian speed post' receipts to the Honorable court. This is subject related to documents 18, 19, and 38 attached with OS 2487 of 2021.
 - c. Not that any patriotic Indian citizen, government organization, legal entity, or corporation might, however to proactively eliminate any abuse of CPC provisions that might allow gaining guardianship of ones property, in this context my property, including 'Intellectual property'.

Hence I request that you provide exemption to the 'Office compliance items' and issue orders to summon defendant 1 to provide their independent valuation to this Honorable court under CPC section 75 provisions.

Bengaluru

Date: 12 | 2022

Plaintiff

(Party in Person)

Re: India at the center of the world due to my

invention patent US 8910998 and our actions

to show the way to the world

<eam@mea.gov.in>, <secywest@mea.gov.in>, To

<srinivas@coolcartechnology.com>

<aslegal@mea.gov.in>

Cc <psfs@mea.gov.in>, <dirlegal@mea.gov.in>,

<legalofficer6@mea.gov.in>, <legalofficer5@mea.gov.in>, <legalofficer7@mea.gov.in>, <legalofficer8@mea.gov.in>, <legalofficer11@mea.gov.in>, <consultantlegal@mea.gov.in>,

<legalofficer4@mea.gov.in>, <jslegal1@mea.gov.in>

2021-09-01 23:14 Date

Priority Highest

To,

From

Mr. S. Jaishankar, External Affairs Minister of India, MEA India; and

Mrs. Reenat Sandhu, Secretary West; and Mrs. Uma Sekhar, Additional Secretary Legal and Treaties division

The court 'Fraud elimination' lawsuit is moving forward. The Honorable court is waiting for the 'Ministry letter' according to law and Patent cooperation Treaty to summon USPTO, WIPO, and IPI, giving ministry permission addressing CPC section 86.

You are aware that I have chosen a very conservative sale price number of 93 Trillion Earthlings / \$ (for earthlings refer my website Projectearthling.com) for the worldwide IP rights sale price to my patent US 8,910,998, by way of executing 62 sale agreements. Since there is no asset valuation authority in India, I have requested a few competent authorities in India to do independent estimation and valuation of the final sale price to my invention. **INDIAN GOVERNMENT, GIVEN MY WORLDWIDE 62 COUNTRY IP RIGHTS AND YOUR** <u>INVOLVEMENT IN MY WORK FOR ATLEAST NEXT TWO YEARS, I REQUEST YOUR</u> MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS TO PROVIDE YOUR INDEPENDENT VALUATION AND ESTIMATION FOR THE FINAL SALE PRICE TO MY INVENTION PATENT US 8,910,998 AND ITS WORLDWIDE IP RIGHTS. In this context, could you guide me as to who within your ministry and which division would be able to do this valuation and estimation? I would work with them to get your estimate. I shall share the relevant industry data with your designated division / head. I have come to realize that when text book methods and estimation techniques are applied for my invention valuation, the numbers shoot up significantly, probably into the range of 220 Trillion to 250 Trillion. Some seem to think the final sale price should be 300 Trillion. I LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR RESPONSE AND ESTIMATE.

Srinivas S. Devathi

Inventor and Author

Srinivasdevathi.com, Projectearthling.com, Earthlingcurrency.com, Coolcartechnology.com

On 2021-06-07 16:52, srinivas@coolcartechnology.com wrote:

To,

Mr. S. Jaishankar, External Affairs Minister of India, MEA India



I have sent a series of communications to you and your team (Secretary west and L&T teams who are copied on this message) between dates 19-4-2021 and 6-6-2021. I am following up with this executive cover letter communication.

Terms of engagement if you are looking for one with an individual inventor, an Indian citizen who is the inventor to patent US 8,910,998 and has IP rights to his invention across a total of 62 world countries according to 'Patent Cooperation Treaty' which is signed by 153 countries.

The term of engagement is my executed and legalized living will that allocates 45% of my total wealth proceeds from this invention to Indian government, 29 state governments. I have pledged another 45% of the total wealth to Earthling foundations which will spend the wealth for the progress and development of India. I only take 10% of the wealth as my own personal money.

This is my term of engagement and agreement with Indian government. My response, my answer, my communication will be exactly the same if I were to discuss my terms of engagement with India, with Prime minister of India, his central government cabinet of ministers, chief ministers of all states of India, President of India, and Chief Justice of India.

I shall add that the allocation of these funds 90% for India and 10% for my personal wealth shall stay the same for the rest of my life. Within that the 90% will be shared equally with 45% allocated to Indian government and state governments; and another 45% allocated to Earthling foundations; despite the final sale price to my inventions worldwide IP rights being reset to higher numbers which could be any number higher than 93 Trillion, which could be 110 Trillion, 120 Trillion, 140 Trillion, 150 Trillion, 200 Trillion, 240 Trillion, or even 250 Trillion. My next release of the Living Will shall disclose the final sale price number with the same allocation of funds. This version of the Living will is expected to go out by middle of next year. I have requested Indian only competent authorities that could do their independent evaluation of the sale price and give me their evaluation details. I consider your ministry and the Finance ministry as one of the competent authorities to do independent valuation of the sale price and provide me your insights over the next few months. This sale price resetting is unrelated to the fraud elimination lawsuit. The fraud elimination is according to world law and Patent Cooperation Treaty that is signed by 153 countries.

Since there is no 'Asset valuation authority' in India. I have requested some business schools, media houses to provide their independent valuations. Your ministry and Finance ministry is certainly one of the authorities that must take a shot at this valuation.

With the above terms of understanding and agreement between me and India (you); I request the following immediate actions from your ministry.

- To get the 'Fraud elimination lawsuit' in motion, I need legal papers from your ministry, L&T team addressed to the presiding judge at Bangalore city civil court to circumvent section 86 citations against three of the four defendants USPTO, IP India (Indian PTO), and WIPO. USPTO is the fraudulent defendant who must eliminate the fraud according to PCT the Treaty and their breach of it. The other two defendants IP India (Indian PTO) and WIPO have participated in prosecuting and delivering ISA services to me on the invention US 8910998 related other PCT applications, which are part of the world wide IP sale. Their participation is not as fraudulent defendants, however to deliver the necessary facts to the court for delivering justice, and facilitate the court to enable the execution of 62 sale agreements the cumulated sale price of which will total to the final sale price of my inventions worldwide IP rights.
- The 'Fraud elimination' is the first step which will be followed by IP rights sale to each of the 62 countries by 62 independent sale agreements. The fraud elimination lawsuit has an upcoming court date on 3-7-2021. I need a week to prepare the affidavit with attached documents to be submitted to the court. Kindly provide the 'Legal document' by MEA to circumvent section 86 citations against the mentioned defendants and to initiate the lawsuit proceeding under section 20 of Indian CPC, by the date of 25-6-2021. This can be achieved by the L&T Team. I have been trying to discuss the subject with L&T team office and Mrs. Uma Sekhar by calling at their landline and mobile phone respectively; and waiting for the scheduled discussion time. I request the ministry office to write to L&T team and communicate the urgency and importance of this lawsuit for India, for the World, and schedule the requested two day meetings with at least 12 hours allocated across the two days. I request you to make time to attend the two day meetings given the worldwide relevance of this content.

-5-

- The Secretary west office mentioned Mr. Vikas Swaroop is transitioning out and hence I want you to be aware of all the communications. According to your organizational chart, I have communicated to his office, L&T team, and kept your office copied on all communications.
- I must introduce 'Project Earthling(c)' at UNGA. I need you to communicate with the UNP or UNGA team as you deem appropriate for its introduction at UNGA. As an inventor and Indian citizen, not being part of any government organization, my reading of the functioning of the current day UN is that it is disintegrating and dissolving to transition into a new model that allows participation of all 193 countries in the world governing. Let me know if my reading of UN is correct. I presume UNGA is still functioning and Assembly meetings are scheduled. I must introduce the reform at UNGA. It must ideally be preceded by Indian media house press conference at Bangalore Press club where I reside. If your ministry can put in a call to 'Bangalore Press Club' for its introduction that will allow us to move to UNGA next for its introduction.
- The introduction of 'Project Earthling(c)' and its going live is integral to distributing the IP rights for my invention across 62 world countries. There is no other way by which the invention patent US 8910998 and economic activity that it creates in the block of next 100-years of 1500 Trillion to 2500 Trillion can be handled. Project Earthling(c) will enable the structured and systematic distribution of the IP rights to 82 countries.
- Involvement of L&T team and Protocol team to participate in the 'IP rights distribution proceeding to execute 62 sale agreements' following the 'Fraud elimination lawsuit' in a specially appointed court by the Bangalore city civil court judge. Your ministry can alone coordinate the arrival of 62 world country leaders to India and thus enable the execution of 62 sale agreements to my invention IP rights.

All the above activity is integral to your participation and your ministry's actions. All of this for the sake of justice and equality for me, for India, and for Hindus living in India. Further, to deliver real independence, true freedom to 193 world countries, by ending economic slavery of 191 countries, and all forms of slavery, and realize a new world with economic equality, business equality and trade equality across all 193 countries. I hope you see the significance of this communication for India and the World, and take immediate action. I am available for any scheduled discussions with you to share additional details regarding this communication.

Other related comments.

I attach the following in this communication. Living Will and the two day requested meeting agenda.

My executed and legalized Living Will is already in the court. It must be considered legally binding.

I look forward to your actions and scheduled discussions. First and the most important subject is getting the 'Fraud elimination lawsuit' proceeding initiated, which can be achieved by communications with L&T team in this week and next.

Srinivas S. Devathi

Inventor and Author

Srinivasdevathi.com, Projectearthling.com, Earthlingcurrency.com, Coolcartechnology.com

A DE LAS CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE

IN THE COURT OF THE CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT BENGALURU (CCH – 10)

O. S. No. 41/2022

BETWEEN:

Srinivas S. Devathi

...Plaintiff

AND:

Legal and Treaties Division of MEA, Society of Indian Automobile

Manufacturers (SIAM), IIM-Lucknow, IIM-Indore, IIM-Kolkata, IIMAhmedabad, IIM-Bangalore, Kasturi and Sons Ltd., Express publications

Madurai Pvt Ltd., The Indian Express Pvt Ltd., ET and TOI of Bennet &

Coleman company Ltd., Printers Mysore Pvt Ltd., and Jagran Prakashan

Ltd. ...Defendants

VERIFYING AFFIDAVIT

I, Srinivas S. Devathi, an Indian citizen, aged about 44 years, S/O Late D. Satyanarayana, residing at No. 63, 11th B Cross, 3rd Main, Prashanthnagar, Bengaluru – 560079, INDIA, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows: I state that I am the plaintiff in the above case. I know the facts and circumstances of the case. Hence, I am swearing to this affidavit. Further, I state that I do not have any lawyer representing me in this case. And that I am appearing as party-in-person in front of the Hon'ble court. I am submitting the following document to the court:

1. <u>Document 7:</u> Memorandum under CPC section 80(2), to summon defendant 1 immediately, given the urgency of the proceeding to initiated in the interest of justice and equality. <u>5 Pages.</u>

Identified by me,

DEPONENT

"Sworn to before me"

Advocate

Bengaluru

Date:

12 JAN 2022

P.M. NAGESHA

BANGALORE LATE

Reg. No. 12419

OF 11

SWORN TO BEFORE ME

N. NAGESHA

ADVOCATE & NOTARY
GOVT. OF INDIA

10/5, 1st Floor, 8th Cross, Vittal Nagara, GEF Post, Mysore Road, Bangaiore-560026