IN THE COURT OF THE CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT BENGALURU

O.S. No.

2487 / 2021

BETWEEN:

Srinivas S. Devathi

...Plaintiff

AND:

United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO), Hulsey P.C., World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), and Intellectual Property India

...Defendants

VERIFYING AFFIDAVIT

I, Srinivas S. Devathi, an Indian citizen, aged about 44 years, S/O Late D. Satyanarayana, residing at No. 63, 11th B Cross, 3rd Main, Prashanthnagar, Bengaluru – 560079, INDIA, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows: I state that I am the plaintiff in the above case. I know the facts and circumstances of the case. Hence, I am swearing to this affidavit. Further, I state that I do not have any lawyer representing me in this case. And that I am appearing as party-in-person in front of the Honorable court. I am submitting the following additional documents to the Honorable court:

DOCUMENT 36: My most recent communications to MEA India are attached in this document. On 22-9-2021, in a phone conversation with Mrs. Uma Sekhar

this document. On 22-9-2021, in a phone conversation with Mrs. Oma Sekhar additional secretary Legal and Treaties division, she communicated that she has reviewed the lawsuit, all the documents, and that the consent letter will be issued from another division called AMS (Americas) division which is headed by Joint Secretary Ms. Vani Sarraju Rao. She also suggested that I keep another division, Protocol division informed for VVIP visits coordination for the execution of 62 sale agreements. Additionally I have informed Mr. Prakash Gupta, Joint Secretary of UNP (United Nations Political) division and Summits division, about introducing the macroeconomic reform Project Earthling© attached

as document 20 with this lawsuit, at WIPO assembly or at UNGA, and to bring it for its decisive voting of 193 countries. I have also informed him that the 61 non-usa sale agreements must be executed in a neutral currency such as 'Earthling' so that India is not a slave to any foreign country. 17 Pages.

DOCUMENT 37: Communications to a dozen organizations / Indian own competent estimation authorities requesting them to do their own independent evaluation of my Patent US 8,910,998 and its worldwide intellectual property rights sale price, in order to validate the sale price chosen by me.

India, single asset valuation authority in Since is there no one identified competent authorities have been these communications to done. I shall keep the Honorable court informed about the responses from these authorities. Here are some details about this document.

After my invention was patented on 16-12-2014 with patent number US 8,910,998; and it got published in October 2015 by defendant 3 - WIPO World Intellectual Property Organization; in early 2016, due to lack of an asset valuation authority in India, I approached all four usa asset valuation organizations kpmg, e&y, delloitte, and pwc. I wrote and spoke to their head-offices; and their leaders to India or Asia region; discussed the work-activity with some of them, and realized they were all controlled and commanded by HSBC Bank usa, J&J usa, Capgemini usa, and their executives from nyc, usa. While I offered to pay for their estimation services and work, they refused to provide services to me. Given this, the valuation by an 'estimating authority' anywhere in the world has not been taken up. From the interaction with these four organizations it was very clear that their loyalty and work is only in the interest of usa. I did bring up the question of 'patriotism and economic growth of India with the leaders to India or Asia region; and it was like all their India offices were slaves to usa, and the so called leader films like as a slave to usa.

The list of competent authorities identified by me are:

The Ministry of External Affairs themselves, on behalf lof Indian government to come up with their valuation. This request was sent to the attention of Mrs. Uma Sekhar, additional secretary Legal and Treaties division and Mr. S. Jaishankar, Honorable External Affairs Minister, MEA India.

Indian media houses: Editor of The Hindu, Mr. Suresh Nambath; Editor of The new Indian Express, Mrs. Santwana Bhattacharya; Editor of Economic Times, Times Group, Mrs. Archana Rai; Editor of Times of India, Times Group, Mrs. Asha Rai; Editor of Deccan Herald, Mr. Sitaram Shankar, and Editor of Jagran group, Mr. Shekar.

Institutes of Management: Director of IIM-L, Professor Archana Shukla; Director of IIM-Indore, Mr. Himanshu Rai; Director of IIM-C, Mr. Uttam Kumar Sarkar; Director of IIM-A, Mr. Errol D'Souza, and Director of IIM-B, Mr. Rishikesha Krishnan.

I do bring the following facts about some of these competent authorities which might be factors affecting their estimation work.

The patriotism of Indian MEA and Finance ministry is unquestionable as they are in the business of making India a developed country, and have interests in the Economic growth, progress, and development of this country. And I am also thinking they are fearless in this context, and not coming under the pressure of usa or their allies.

The Times group owned by a Jain family has business alliances with usa factor that the court must record. The business tie ups with usa will render them slaves to usa and their patriotism and commitment towards India might be compromised. USA might exert significant pressure on them to show loyalty to usa and become anti-India.

Over the last few years the originally Indian Express group (newspaper) has divided into two separate media houses The new Indian Express covering 5-6 south Indian states, and the Indian Express group covering the rest of the states in India. While an estimation request has been sent to the New Indian Express media house, Mr. Rajkamal Jha of the Indian Express group has not been approached for valuation work yet. Mr. Rajkamal Jha, Editor of Indian Express has however been informed about the Press release by Bangalore Press Club dated 18-3-2021.

I do bring the attention of the Honorable court to the fact that IIM institutes are 100% export institutes wherein near 100% of their students are placed in foreign countries with most of them going to usa, or uk. Given this, they might be under pressure of usa or their corporations in giving true sale price estimate according to text book methods of asset valuation. Their response will also be a reflection of

NO. OF CORRECTIONS. Four

their patriotism to India and commitment to the economic growth, progress, and development of India.

With these above remarks, I am waiting for their responses. It is a likely possibility that the final worldwide sale price of my patent invention US 8,910,998; might be reset from 93 Trillion Earthlings / \$ to any number in the range of 220 Trillion Earthlings / \$ to 250 Trillion Earthlings / \$. Text book methods give higher numbers for the sale price. If multiple opinions recommend a reset of sale price, I might consider it. The new reset sale price would be uniformly and proportionally distributed across the 62 sale agreements depending on vehicle manufacturing throughput in the country, other sector adoption, and few other factors.

The most popular reset sale price numbers are 140 Trillion Earthlings / \$ or 200 Trillion Earthlings / \$ or 220 Trillion Earthlings / \$ or 240 Trillion Earthlings / \$. I attach my requests, e-mail communications to the competent authorities. 25 Pages.

DOCUMENT 38: Court fee computation document for a final total conservative sale price liability claim of 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings, by way of executing 62 sale agreements. The court fee is INR 33,78,225,0207,125 or \$ 465,000,002,851 at conversion rate of 1\$ = 72.65 INR. 2 Pages.

: THROUGHOUT

BANGALORE CITY Reg. No. 12410

Identified by me,

Advocate

Bengaluru

DEPONENT

"Sworn to before me"

SWORN TO BEFORE ME

NAGESHA

B.A., LL.B., ADVOCATE & NOTARY GOVT. OF INDIA 10/5, 1st Floor, 8th Cross, Vittal Nagara, GEF Post,

Mysore Road, Bangalore-560026

NO. OF CORRECTIONS.

NOTARY REGISTRATION No. 12410