

**IN THE COURT OF THE CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT**

**BANGALORE (CCH-10)**

**O. S. No. 0004961 / 2018**

**BETWEEN**

Srinivas Devathi

...Plaintiff

**AND:**

Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc.,

and others

...Defendants


**AFFIDAVIT**

I, Srinivas Devathi, aged about 42 years, S/o. Late D. Satyanarayana, residing at No. 63, 11<sup>th</sup> B Cross, 3<sup>rd</sup> Main, Prashanthnagar, Bengaluru – 560079, state that I am the Plaintiff in this case, and I represent myself in front of the Hon'ble Court / Judge and do not have any lawyers / advocates representing me.

I am submitting the following documents to the Hon'ble court and Defendants:

- 1) Current status of all patent applications and defendant's Final Total Liability based on REALISTIC valuation numbers; as Document U (6 Pages).
- 2) Amended Prayer for the lawsuit, now including the Liability of Defendants to Inventor; as Document V (5 Pages).
- 3) Snapshot view of all the 17 Non-USA PCT contracting state national stage applications with application numbers, filing dates, current status and patent grant numbers; as Document W (1 Page).
- 4) Indian citizenship papers of Plaintiff; as Document X (4 Pages).
- 5) A two-page Living Will of the Plaintiff addressing his 'Vehicle Color Change Technology' invention and the 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings wealth; as Document Y (2 Pages).

~~Plaintiff's statement and complete key documents related to 'Living Will', 2  
Amendment (2 Pages)  
Prayer and Verdicts of 17 PCT applications filed for non-automotive  
Documents A1 (27 Pages).~~



Advocate

Place: Bangalore

Date: **19 OCT 2019**

SWORN TO BEFORE ME

*P.N. NAGESHA*  
**P.N. NAGESHA**  
B.A., LL.B.

ADVOCATE & NOTARY  
GOVT. OF INDIA  
# 10/5, 1st Floor, 8th Cross,  
Vittal Nagara, GEF Post,  
Munro Road, Bangalore-560026

*9.10.19*  
DEPONENT

**IN THE COURT OF THE CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT**

**BANGALORE (CCH-10)**

**O. S. No. 0004961 / 2018**

**BETWEEN**

Srinivas Devathi

...Plaintiff

**AND:**

Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc.,

and others

...Defendants

**CURRENT STATUS OF ALL PATENT APPLICATIONS AND DEFENDANTS FINAL  
TOTAL LIABILITY TO PLAINTIFF BASED ON REALISTIC  
ESTIMATES/VALUATION AS OPPOSED TO CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATES**

I, Srinivas Devathi, Plaintiff in this case, provide the current status of all my national stage patent applications and the defendant's FINAL liability to me based on realistic estimates.

1. Plaintiff spent several months (nearly 2 years) by having analysts gather all vehicle manufacturing data from across the world, and further did analysis on all other sectors where this 'Color change technology' could be used or adopted. And Plaintiff also listed all the downstream businesses that will evolve and develop into full scale businesses to support the evolution of the Invention as a product; and businesses that will provide all related downstream services for the invention. Further, Plaintiff identified all the layers of 'Supply chain' businesses that will evolve in every country to deliver the related products and services.

2. After data gathering, analysis for nearly two years and study of markets across globe, the overall economic activity created by this invention across globe over the next century (100 years) was estimated at around 930 Trillion \$, which is a realistic estimate. An aggressive number is around 1000 Trillion \$ or more. Plaintiff is claiming a conservative 10% of this 100-year economic activity, at 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings, as a single buy-out / sale price of the invention across all 62 countries where he has sought protection including USA. The 10% claim number or sale price is larger than GDP of any country in the World. At 12%, the global valuation (buy-out / sale price) will be at 111.6 Trillion \$; and at 15%, the global valuation (buy-out / sale price) will be at 139.5 Trillion \$. In many prior inventions, Inventors have sought 12% or even 15% as their earnings off the invention, hence those numbers are also listed.
3. Plaintiff would like to inform the Hon'ble Court that the liability numbers defined and mentioned in all documents submitted to the court (since the filing of the lawsuit), from Document A to Document T; are based on conservative estimates and a 10% claim on the conservative estimates. The conservative estimate of 100-year worth economic activity was 595 Trillion \$ and all documents refer to a liability claim number of 59.5 Trillion \$. Plaintiff used the 'conservative estimates' with a plan to use business strategy or approach of maximizing the 100-year downstream-business related revenue and income from across the World, when he has patent grants covering the 62 countries. However, due to the delay strategy used by Defendants in admitting their fraud, and D2 / D4 not even showing up in the court, Plaintiff has lost many patent applications, and due to this, the case is heading towards a one-time 'Cash-out' or 'Settlement deal for the global rights'. Due to this, Plaintiff is using 'Realistic estimate numbers' and claiming the total global rights liability of 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings, as opposed to the conservative numbers listed before.
4. In this document, Plaintiff is introducing the LIABILITY based on REALISTIC valuations (and not conservative ones). So, the Liability claim at realistic valuation of 10% of 930 Trillion \$ (100-year economic activity), at 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings overrides all (conservative numbers, projections and liability claims) mentioned in all earlier documents. This invention is in a strata/category of its own and there is no existing scale or benchmark for such a globally transformative invention.

5. The valuation of the patent applications has been done based on detailed study of all the automotive manufacturing plants across the world, segregated by individual countries, their manufacturing through-put, the market size projection for the 100 year period; and all the related (downstream) business opportunities such as within plastic – polymers industry, paints industry, chemicals – additives industry, robotics industry, microvalves industry; and further all these sectors respective market sizes.
6. Additionally, the national valuations include market sizes of non-automotive sectors such as Electrical – Electronics sector, Furniture – Walls sector, interior décor articles, toys, shoes and other miscellaneous sectors also.
7. After all the analysis and study, one single pay-out / sale / buy-out price (liability) for that territory is mentioned; which includes the sale of IP rights, royalty income for the patent tenure for all sectors and controlling rights / ownership to all the downstream businesses pertaining to the invention in those respective territories for 100-years. When annual market size growth rates and the inflation related to economic growth versus currency (E.g., Value of INR, USD, Euro, Riyal, Renminbi in year 1900 as opposed to its value in year 2000); are factored in and extrapolated across the 100-year period, the numbers further shoot up well beyond the 1000 Trillion number.
8. The combined global valuation at 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings is further a 10% claim on total 100-year realistic projection of the economic activity, while it could have been higher at 12% or even 15%. The valuation number in the box (given below) is what the defendants are liable to pay the Plaintiff, at 10%. Needless to say; that such numbers are directly linked to making India a developed country. Hence, this invention and liability claim is the most important case for India and other developing countries across the world.
9. USA continuation application 14/535,867 (for non-automotive sectors) is valued at 5.25 Trillion \$ off the total 22.5 Trillion \$ USA value, making the USA Vehicle sector patent grant valued at 17.25 Trillion \$. Off the total global valuation of ‘Color change technology’ at 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings, the automotive sector is valued at 78 Trillion \$ and the non-automotive sectors are valued at the remaining 15 Trillion \$. The valuation against each country is the sale / buy-out price for that country patent. It is the number that the defendants are liable to the Plaintiff. The liability grid / table is given below. Additionally, comments pertaining to the liability grid / table, are covered in points 10 to 18 below.

<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>Application Status</b>	<b>Valuation (sale price)</b>
USA – Priority / Original app	Vehicle sector Grant	17.25 T
USA – Continuation app	Non-Vehicle sectors; linked to D1-D4 fraud *	5.25 T
New Zealand	Lost	0.45 T
Eurasia (8 countries)	Lost	0.45 T
Korea	Lost	7.5 T
Japan	Lost ^	12 T
Australia	Lost	4.05 T
Brazil	Lost	1.5 T
Canada	Lost	4.5 T
China	Lost *	7.5 T
Europe (38 Countries)	Lost *	27 T
India	Lost	2.25 T
Mexico	Active *	1.5 T
Thailand	Active ^	0.3 T
Philippines	Active ^	0.3 T
Malaysia	Active ^	0.3 T
Indonesia	Active ^	0.3 T
Nigeria	Grant ^	0.15 T
South Africa	Grant *	0.45 T
Total value of invention (as sale price) - *^ Due to partial territories left, their value is diminished and hence it is full liability to defendants now		<b>93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings</b>

10.China is one of the largest markets in the World, manufacturing one out of every four cars (automobiles) manufactured in the World. That application (valued at 7.5 Trillion \$) has lapsed on July 28, 2019, as Plaintiff is unable to sustain (or pay for) the repeat office actions. The defendants are liable for this territory now. The European patent application (covering 38 countries) lapsed on July 31, 2019 for non-payment of annuity (to keep the application active). Again, Plaintiff is unable to sustain the repeat office actions and keep these applications alive and active. The defendants are liable for this European PTO jurisdiction losses now (valued at 27 Trillion \$).

11.With China application lost, it becomes ‘IP safe haven’ for OEM’s who have manufacturing set-up and establishments in China. USA OEM’s have manufacturing plants / set-up in China. Thus, diminishing the value of USA patent grant (and continuation application) valued at 22.5 Trillion \$; making defendants liable for (loss / diminished value) of USA patent and

continuation application. Even European OEM's have manufacturing plants / set-up in China. This link is indicated by \* in the table.

12. Further, due to the indirect relationship Mexico application (valued at 1.5 Trillion \$) and South Africa patent (grant valued at 0.45 Trillion \$) have with the Chinese application; as primarily USA and Europe OEM's operate in these territories, their value has also diminished. Making the defendants liable for the additional 1.95 Trillion \$. This link is indicated by \* in the table.

13. Thailand, Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia applications and Nigeria patent grant – These applications and patent are linked to Japanese OEM's. With the loss of Japan application, the defendants have already become liable for the value of all these territories put together, 1.35 Trillion \$. This link is indicated by ^ in the table.

14. The India patent application was lost on Sep 14, 2019; as the fraud at the source has not been eliminated, to stop the repeat pending/Reject office actions. This makes defendants liable for India patent valuation at 2.25 Trillion \$.

**15. All the above points make USA defendants D1 to D4 liable to the Plaintiff for the full value of 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings.**

16. Downstream businesses for 100-years: Plaintiff would like to inform Hon'ble court that in the lost territories or PTO jurisdictions as listed above, he would be unable to establish and develop the 100-year worth downstream businesses for the entire eco-system required for the 'Color change technology'. Hence the full value (the buy-out or sale price listed in the table) becomes liability of the defendants.

17. Even when partial territories are retained, the 'IP safe havens' due to lost IP territories will compete (for not having to pay related royalties in IP tenure) and get a head start in the full eco-system of downstream businesses as compared to the retained territories. Because of this, Plaintiff states that Defendants are liable for full buy-out price by territories including the ones that have diminished in value as listed above; making their total liability as 93 Trillion \$ / Earthlings.

18. The valuation of all 18 applications (including USA) and the buy-out / sale price for each of these applications which includes IP royalties for the IP tenure and control rights/ownership of downstream businesses for 100 years has been given in the table above. Based on these numbers, the motive of

defendants in numbers is crystal clear. The Vehicle sector patent grant by USPTO is valued at 22.5 Trillion \$ and the rest of the World (coming under PCT application and effected by the fraud) is valued at 70.5 Trillion \$. It is more than clear that defendants D1 to D4, wanted to deny that kind of wealth (at least 70.5 Trillion \$) coming into India thru the Plaintiff. The motives are many for USA defendants; to stay the wealthiest country in the World, not allow India to get rich, not allow Plaintiff to become the richest man in the World going above America's richest, deny progress and development for 1.32 Billion Indian citizens. Controlling the World and retaining pole positions on economic indicators, treasury wealth and the dream of most USA citizens to be on richest people's list; all of which have been shattered by Plaintiff and India. This fraud is an attempt to retain USA supremacy over India and the World. However, the defendants have been caught red-handed in the global fraud and that too in the World's eye / global eye as visible to all PCT nations via the WIPO publication of all documents.

Place: Bangalore

PLAINTIFF

Date:

**IN THE COURT OF THE CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT**  
**BANGALORE (CCH-10)**  
**O. S. No. 0004961 / 2018**

**BETWEEN**

Srinivas Devathi

...Plaintiff

**AND:**

Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc.,

and others

...Defendants

**LIABILITY CAUSED BY DEFENDANTS DUE TO THEIR DELAY IN ADMITTING**  
**THE FRAUD AND D2/D4 NOT SHOWING UP IN COURT SINCE THE SUIT HAS**  
**BEEN FILED; CAUSING THE AMENDMENT TO PRAYER IN THE SUIT**

I, Srinivas Devathi, Plaintiff in this case, provide the reasons for ‘Liability caused to defendants’ in the past 15 months (since this lawsuit has been filed) in this document. It is the deliberate delay tactics used by Defendants D1 to D4, in admitting their fraud after summons were delivered to them on Aug 15, 2018; in a deliberate strategy to cause loss of applications to Plaintiff (due to his market debt of an estimated 54 Lakhs INR, because of which he was unable to sustain the ‘Pending/Reject’ office actions issued by PTO’s to keep applications active). In particular, Defendants D2 and D4 deliberately have not filed papers in the court and employed delay tactics to cause loss of applications to Plaintiff and thus caused the liability. The details are provided in subsequent paragraphs.

1. The reason for the cause of liability is that Inventor has incurred significant expenditure in paying for the ‘Rejection office actions’ issued by most PTOs (Patent and Trademark Offices) based on the fabricated prior art and fabricated sabotaging ISR issued by USPTO; in an attempt to keep those patent applications afloat / alive / active until the Defendants admitted their



fraud, cleared the fabricated sabotaging ISR and sent communications to all PTOs; so that he could receive patent grants in all these territories. These expenses would not have existed in the first place, if not for the fabricated prior art Cobb, Price, Saenger and Hale; as the applications would have been straight grants in all territories after filing. Hence the defendants are made liable for the loss of IP rights in the jurisdictions where the patent application has been lost / abandoned due to not being able to pay the legal fees to file a response or annuity fees or other office fees to keep the application active / alive. Further, Inventor states that he is in debt (of an estimated 54 Lakhs INR) and will not be able to raise any more capital to keep the applications active and is likely to lose all other remaining active applications also for not being able to pay the legal / office / other fees related to that application.

2. Defendants must clearly understand that their fraud has global impact and not only to Inventor and India. Defendants must also note that, the combined defendant's fraud has caused repeated (pending/reject) office actions from most of the 17 PTO's, the costs of filing responses to which were high and non-sustainable by the Inventor. Due to the costs involved in keeping applications active by filing repeat office action responses, and Inventor's debt in the market, he started to lose applications causing liability to defendants due to the fraud committed. The current liability is as stated in the Document U, the full value of 93 Trillion \$. The e-mail communications with Inventor's IP lawyers regarding not being able to pay the fees have already been submitted to the Hon'ble Court. If not for the defendants D1 to D4 combined fraud, the 'repeat office actions' based on sabotaging ISR would have not been there in first place, and the patent applications in all 17 non-USA PTO jurisdictions would have come in as straight patent grants. This would have meant full development and progress of India through the wealth attracted by Inventor by his invention patent grants from all over the world.
3. After investing most of his personal wealth, and further taking loans and favors from IP law firms, Inventor has a total current market debt of over an estimated 54 lakhs Indian Rupees. After this, Inventor was unable to raise any more debt given his fixed income and he started to lose applications causing liability to defendants. If Defendants had admitted to their fraud sooner, Inventor could have saved all his 17 non-USA applications and they

would have been issued as grants. Inventor has made all efforts to keep applications in all non-USA jurisdictions active, and despite, defendants have caused application losses and hence they must pay for the liability.

4. The 17 Non-USA contracting state PCT national stage applications were lost / abandoned by the Inventor on these dates due to not being able to sustain the payment of legal fees, lawyer services fees, office fees, annuity fees or other fees required to keep these applications active:

- New Zealand application LOST on May 24, 2018
- Eurasia application (covering 8 countries) LOST on Nov 21, 2018
- Korea application LOST on Feb 18, 2019
- Japan application LOST on March 13, 2019
- Australia application LOST on March 28, 2019
- Brazil application LOST on April 15, 2019
- Canada application LOST on July 15, 2019
- China application LAPSED on July 28, 2019
- Europe application (covering 38 countries) LAPSED on July 31, 2019
- India application Lost on Sep 14, 2019.
- Philippines - Active application but now with diminished value.  
Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Mexico - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Thailand - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Malaysia - Active application but now with diminished value.  
Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Indonesia - Active application but now with diminished value.  
Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Nigeria - Patent grant received on Sep 26, 2016. Inventor claims full liability due to its diminished value; now related to all the other application losses.
- South Africa - Patent grant received on Jan 18, 2018. Inventor claims full liability due to its diminished value; now related to all the other application losses.
- USA continuation application (# 14/535,867) is active however is linked to this lawsuit. Inventor claims liability from defendants for

this continuation application. It must be noted that it was the Inventor who filed an 'IDS' – Information Disclosure Form after USPTO issued the fabricated sabotaging ISR on the PCT application. It shows the True nature of the Inventor as he disclosed the fabricated citations to be transparent in the (continuation patent) application process and that USPTO was made aware of the fraud they committed at the PCT application level. The Continuation application is what defendants are very much liable for.

- A document showing the snapshot view of all the 17 Non-USA PCT contracting state national stage applications with application numbers, filing dates, current status and patent grant numbers is enclosed as Document W.
5. Due to the 'Liability Caused by defendants in the past 15 months' after filing this lawsuit; the PRAYER in the lawsuit as filed on July 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018; is NOW Amended / Appended to include the 'Liability Numbers'; as follows:

## AMENDED PRAYER

The Plaintiff / Inventor requests the Honorable Court to pass a judgement and decree in favor of the Inventor against the defendants,

- a. To work with or instruct USPTO to withdraw / delete the sabotaging ISR issued on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619.
- b. To work with or instruct USPTO to issue a correct / new / clean ISR, to the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619; which is consistent with patent grant 8,910,998 (issued by USPTO). That would be the correct ISR.
- c. To work with or instruct USPTO (the ISA / IPEA on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619), and send out a new communication to WIPO and all 152 PCT contracting states in the world; to their respective PTO's issuing the corrected new ISR replacing the old sabotaging ISR.
- d. To work with or instruct USPTO to issue a grant on the Inventor's continuation application in USA, application # 14/535,867.
- e. To pay the total liability of IP losses incurred by the Inventor, which is 93 Trillion \$.
- f. As an alternate payment option, Defendants must pay the partial liability (value of USA patent grant and continuation application # 14/535,867) of 22.5 Trillion in \$; and legally endorse the receipt of balance 70.5 Trillion in Earthlings currency by the Inventor; from United Nations division Global Earthling Counsel (GEC) which is to be formed and its launch is under works.

Place: Bangalore

PLAINTIFF

Date:

Priority application #	14/227,859	
Filing date	27th March 2014	
Patent grant #	8,910,998	
Grant date	16th December 2014	
USPTO Continuation app #	14/535,867	Currently ACTIVE
Continuation app filing date	7th November 2014	
PCT app #	PCT/US2014/046619	
PCT app filing date	15th July 2014	

<b>Other Sector PCT Applications</b>
App # PCT/IB2016/050993
App # PCT/IB2016/050994
App # PCT/IB2016/050995
All Three applications
Filed on 24th February 2016
With Inventor's India address
With International Bureau
as Receiving Office.

National stage applications				
Country	Application #	Filing date	Status	LOST/LAPSED/GRANT date
New Zealand	725679	October 27, 2016	LOST	May 24, 2018
Eurasia (8 Countries)	201691898	October 20, 2016	LOST	November 21, 2018
Korea	10-2016-7026408	September 23, 2016	LOST	February 18, 2019
Japan	100099759 2017502572	September 26, 2016	LOST	March 13, 2019
Australia	2014388300	October 27, 2016	LOST	March 28, 2019
Brazil	BR 11 2016 022393 4	September 27, 2016	LOST	April 15, 2019
Canada	2,944,200	September 27, 2016	LOST	July 15, 2019
China	201480079105.9	November 18, 2016	LAPSED	July 28, 2019
Europe (38 Countries)	14886695.7 2014886695	October 27, 2016	LAPSED	July 31, 2019
India	6623/CHE/2014	December 26 2014	LOST	Sep 14, 2019
Mexico	MX/A/2016/012570	September 27, 2016	ACTIVE	
Thailand	1601005662	September 26, 2016	ACTIVE	
Philippines	PH/1/2016/5022134	October 26,2016	ACTIVE	
Malaysia	PL 2016703531	September 27, 2016	ACTIVE	
Indonesia	P00201607230	October 25, 2016	ACTIVE	
Nigeria	F/P/2016/328	September 26, 2016	GRANT	September 26, 2016
South Africa	2016/07380	October 26,2016	GRANT	January 18, 2018

LIVING WILL

OF MR. SRINIVAS DEVATHI S; BANGALORE - 560079, INDIA

WILL TAKING EFFECT FROM - SEP 16, 2019

WILL EXPIRING ON - DEC 31, 2020

CONTEXT:

I, Srinivas Devathi, Inventor to 'Vehicle Color Change Technology' with patent grant from USPTO (which is valued at 22.5 Trillion Earthlings/\$) and the technologies valuation from the other 61 Non-USA countries (all of which are valued at 70.5 Trillion Earthlings/\$); own total assets (all intellectual property) worth a total (realistic estimated value) of 93 Trillion Earthlings/\$; as of today. This is the most important asset that must be addressed in this Will. It is fair to say that all my property / assets / wealth is intellectual property in the form of patents, patent applications and Trademarks.

Outside of the above listed intellectual property assets; I have some property and income from other regular sources which allows me to live my regular 'Middle class' income life in India. This property is nothing that anyone in India, or certainly USA citizens or their corporations would envy about or look to steal from me.

NO LEGAL HEIRS:

I, Srinivas Devathi, do not have any legal heirs. I am single (Divorce concluded in July 2012) with no children. So, I do not have any legal heirs; as all intellectual property in a person's name qualifies as his own earnings and any legal heirs of such property can only be his family descendants.

Further, my siblings have no legal claim in this wealth either.

My father, who passed away on Jan 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018; has left enough (of his wealth) for my mother, to ensure her comfortable living for many years to come. Additionally, my mother would not know what to do with crores of rupees, and certainly does not know what to do with Trillions of Earthlings / \$.

Despite my mentioning of the names of my Father or Mother in one or more financial instrument forms (such as bank accounts, LIC policies, Post office accounts, investment accounts, or other) as my nominee (for that financial instrument), this 'Living Will' shall override any such nomination forms being extrapolated for my Intellectual property assets listed above. Further, Intellectual property is not something that is claimed or inherited upwards in the family tree.

So, neither my mother nor my deceased Father can inherit or claim any portion of the 93 Trillion Earthlings / \$ asset.



SEPARATE INSTRUMENT:

In summary I do not have any legal heirs for the 93 Trillion Earthlings / \$ valued Intellectual Property. If I choose to assign anything to my Mother or siblings, it shall be done so only by 'Executing a separate contract or agreement or legal instrument'.



CURRENT ASSIGNMENTS OF WEALTH FROM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY:

The 93 Trillion Earthlings / \$ will be brought into India as my wealth in this year and next. Depending on the way I execute contracts (Invention – Intellectual Property sale agreements) with one or more countries; I may attract the wealth in partial payments spread over the IP tenure which ends in year 2034. As the wealth comes into my Indian Bank account(s), my yearly allocation of funds will be as given here:

- 90% of all wealth attracted will be 'year-marked' or 'pledged' for a visionary goal defined by me called 'Goal Year 2050'; to make India a developed country.
  - o Half of this 90% (45% of total) will be paid to Indian Government (which includes all payable taxes at central government and state government level for all 29 states and UT's included). Portion / balance outside the taxes and up to the 45% of total value will be allocated to Indian Government and 29 State Governments as 'Development Funds' (For the states, in proportion to their population).
  - o I shall annually pay (or distribute) this portion of wealth and provide guidance to Indian Government and all 29 State Governments on doing the right projects, the right way until 'Goal year 2050' is achieved.
  - o The other half of this 90% (45% of total) is 'year-marked' or 'pledged' for Private side development projects / work which will be driven by me across India (which will further be followed and possibly emulated by many other developing and economically backward countries); through 'Earthling Foundations'. The Legal entity Foundation is expected to be formed and its first initiative rolled out well before Dec 31, 2020.
  - o Earthling Foundations will roll out a series of initiatives over the next 30 years, with allocated expenditure annually for projects that must be completed within that year; to achieve 'Goal year 2050'.
- 10% of all wealth attracted will be 'My personal wealth' in my personal bank account and spent on my personal expenses and select personal projects across India.

I, Srinivas Devathi, Inventor to 'Vehicle Color Change Technology'; state that this is my Current active Living Will and this is how I want the money allocated, while alive or dead.



---

Srinivas Devathi S;  
Inventor and Author;  
Bangalore, India;  
Sep 16, 2019.